

MAD MAN DISEASE

To paraphrase: It is not in our cows but in ourselves that we are mad men, if we consent without demur to the deliberate destruction of what still remains of our once divinely ordered society. Yet no one in the media — or even in the bus queue — seems to have remarked upon the fact that for the public to accept and act upon a diagnosis, arrived at without proof, by already suspect "researchers", of the cause and progress of the derangement in the poor beasts so necessary to our rural economy, is to provide further evidence, if any were needed, of a mad disease that mankind have brought upon themselves by swallowing repeated bites of the forbidden fruit of the Tree of Knowledge. What such obstinacy in sin has already brought upon the world that God created and once looked upon and "saw that it was good", now, seemingly prevents its duped inhabitants from seeing that the increasingly insane mismanagement of the environment looks uncommonly like the actions of lunatics on the last lap to perdition.. How is it possible for us to continue to think we can enjoy olympic "circuses" with impunity when our "bread" is deliberately and systemically being poisoned by creatures who disgrace the name of scientist?

The saying, "Whom the gods will destroy, they first make mad" seems inapplicable in a world made by a benevolent being who only wanted His Grace to prevail and save sinful men from the results of their wickedness, until we remember that "The gods" were, and are, all figments of the perverted imaginations of prideful men determined to usurp the power of creating beings in their own image. At first, they made idols and worshipped them with sacrifice — and a blood thirsty lot they were — human sacrifice was hardly enough! So — then men made animals of their ancestors and taught their descendants to believe them — Evolution! Now, "evolved men" have declared themselves omnipotent and, as gods, are bent on making mad those whom they would destroy but it appears it is in themselves they are lunatics. The whole enterprise so reeks of the smoke of Satan that if we cannot smell it, we too are mad already.

The Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries perhaps should be renamed; Ministry of Set Aside, Poisoned Food and No Fisheries. After reading this following tale of obstinacy in error.

"The MAFF had decided on "Warble Fly Treatment Zones" and one Mr Mark Purdey owned an isolated,

organic farm in Somerset on which he kept a herd of pedigree Jersey cattle. His farm was included in one of these "zones" even though warble flies were in less than 1% of cows at the time. He, with thousands of other farmers was expected to dose his animals with an organo-phosphorous compound. OP is a powerful systemic insecticide. Treatment involves pouring it along the backs of cows whence it gets into the bloodstream, so the internal parasites like Warble Fly are destroyed.

Mr Purdey refused to comply. He is an organic farmer and a "passionately committed campaigner in that cause. He holds a core of belief of almost atavistic simplicity, which has devastating repercussions. He believes that we are all being steadily poisoned by the chemicals in the environment. He considers that there is an inextricable link in the chain of chemical exposure". *

When Mark Purdey was taken to court by the MAFF, his solicitor therefore, argued that he should be exempt from the Warble Fly order on these grounds:

1. The danger to the cows themselves.
2. The danger to those administering the treatment.
3. The danger to the public in the form of residues in the milk.

Purdey won the case on a technicality. Just a few weeks later, Peter Ward, Purdey's solicitor, who had made the victory possible, died in an "accident" when his car ploughed into a stone wall.

MAFF continued its programme of dosing cattle with OP's although one of its main insecticides, Fenthion, was no longer used. Britain is the only country to have prescribed this twice a year regardless as to whether the cows were pregnant, and the embryo was particularly vulnerable. It was at this juncture that large numbers of BSE infected cows began to appear. The symptoms of BSE displayed by the cows — nervousness, apprehension and un-coordinated movement were virtually the same as chronic OP poisoning. Purdey logged the incidence of BSE county by county and compared it with the Warble Fly treatment areas. The areas where mad cow disease appeared were the same areas where OP insecticide had been used on the animals. This was noted in the June edition of the *Dairy Farmer* which stated, "It does seem that the greatest concentration of BSE cases are in herds which received a whole range of OP doses: worming boluses; lice and parasite controls and flysprays etc., they

* Bob Woffinden, *The Seeds of Madness. The Guardian Weekend*, 13/8/94.

were even included in the feed and the recommended way of disposing of sheep dip was to spread it over the pasture!

Purdey's herd of organic cows remained unaffected by the BSE epidemic. But a cow bought from a neighbouring farm developed the disease. The cow, called Damson, was blood tested by Purdey and compared with controls from his own herd. That was strictly illegal but he did it just the same. Previously, a Japanese expert on OP pesticides had written to Purdey, saying; "...your description (linking) mad cows to organophosphates is exactly true". This expert, Professor Ishikawa, had recommended an antidote (an oxime and atrophine) for BSE infected cows. Purdey's vet, Christopher Budge, managed to get hold of some from the Musgrove Hospital, Taunton where it had been stockpiled in case Saddam Hussein had used organophosphate based gasses in the Gulf War!!

"We injected the cow, and within 90 minutes we got a dramatic remission of symptoms. We wanted to treat her over a six month period. It was a hopelessly uneconomic aim, but it would have been a scientific trial." However, MAFF stepped in, stopped the injections and slaughtered the animal. Christopher Budge had told the media, "if I can clarify Purdey's theory, I am happy to do so." Sadly, he was never to have the opportunity. A few days later his car suddenly veered off the road into the path of an oncoming lorry, "for no apparent reason" as the inquest stated. Odd that both Purdey's lawyer and his vet met with almost identical "accidents". Certainly, searching out the truth is a very dangerous operation. The Man born to be King was crucified for telling it.

The so dangerous truth in this saga of criminal lunacy is that the government has stated that, if necessary, and if so advised by their experts, it would order the slaughter of the whole British cattle herd of eleven million. One wonders what will be the "experts" defence when

(if) they find "the buck stops" at them. Anyway: on with the tale. The headlines on the 23rd March, 1996 were "screamers".

"Beef Linked to Brain Disease." "Beef Warning Sparks Panic", "Schools Ban Beef"! For several months past, certain sections of the media had suggested that there might be a link between so-called mad cow disease (Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy, BSE for short) and the human brain disease, once found only amongst Jews, called Creutzfeldt Jakob Disease, CJD for short. The government, after repeatedly reassuring the public that beef was absolutely safe to eat and that there was no connection between the animal and the human form of the disease, then did a 180 degree about turn. One top microbiologist, Professor Richard Lacey had forecast a rapid increase in cases of the human equivalent of mad cow diseases. In a lecture at Dumfries and Galloway Infirmary he said that the worst case scenario was that CJD cases would run at 5,000 to 50,000 a year next century. Half the human population could be vulnerable to CJD! Another "expert" has stated that consumers may have eaten up to a million cattle infected with BSE in the last 20 years. Dr Graham Medley of Warwick University has said, "These figures mean people have been exposed to a degree of risk. The main concern is that the slaughter and consumption of these cattle is still continuing."

Owing to the touching faith the majority of the general public seem to have in "experts" of all sorts it is hardly surprising that 'panic' ensued. Already across Europe and round the world, one country after another has leapt on the "Ban British Beef" bandwagon, to the possible ruination of thousands of British farmers resulting in the end of our farming communities and what still remains of rural life. Or indeed, any English life at all, that being the aim of the one-worlders whose stooges our so-called 'governors' are. Hence it is self-evident fact, that on every major

issue affecting the British people and their country's well being especially during the twentieth century, the "leaders" chosen by the people's alleged representatives have lied, cheated, misled and deceived them, whilst dosing them with "evolutionary progress", sporting prowess to come from multiracial excellence and "The experts" agree in colonising the worlds of outer space. The present furore is just another "part of the plot". Stay sane and look at the facts.

"Mad Cow Disease" began to make the headlines from about 1988. We all saw pictures of cows staggering round their farmyards. BSE. very quickly was identified as the major cause of death amongst British dairy cattle. We were informed that the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF) scientists had discovered that the epidemic had been caused by the feed given to cows. For years animal feed producers had used offal, scraps of meat, bone meal and other waste from sheep and other cows as a cheap source of protein for their animal cake. However, from the early eighties, "in a desire to free businesses from bureaucratic restrictions," MAFF relaxed regulations in the animal feed industry. The animal remains were no longer subjected to steam-heat treatment. It was claimed that as a consequence an erratic form of a normal body protein (prion protein, PrP) responsible for the disease scrapie in sheep had survived the preparation process and crossed species to cause BSE. in cattle. This explanation entirely overlooked the fact that, as numerous farmers and veterinary surgeons knew, BSE. had been around for some time prior to the mid-eighties. Also, there had not been a case of BSE among home reared cattle on organic farms although *some* had been fed the infected bonemeal.

The sheep form of the disease – scrapie – had been around for over 200 years but always at a low level and people must have eaten infected

GOD'S IMAGE – OR APE-MAN?

by Victor T. Walkley

In an age dominated by 'political correctness' and multi-this-that and the other, it is pertinent to ask whether the Darwinists are themselves 'politically correct'. For almost a century the Darwinist cult has held centre-stage. The evolutionary doctrine, which concludes that mankind evolved from ape-like creatures has been taught in every classroom. Some university lecturers and school teachers have lost their posts because they opposed the Darwinist theory of evolution.

At last common sense has prevailed in the United States of America. Great pressure has been brought to bear on teachers to present Darwinist evolution as only a theory. In Tennessee state a decree has been passed empowering school boards to dismiss teachers who present 'evolution of mankind' as anything other than a theory. Alabama state government decided that a disclaimer must be included in every biology textbook. The disclaimer stating that the 'evolution' section is "a controversial theory" supported by "some" scientists. Christian parents have also combined to stop 'evolution' teaching to their children.

The Darwinists say that humankind evolved from an ape-creature and this statement was of course pleasing news to those who deny the Word of God. R.J. Rushdoony (*The Necessity for Systematic Theology*) observes – "The joy of Marx and Engels over the publication of Charles Darwin's 'Origin of Species' is understandable. Darwin accepted meant the acceptance of a mindless universe, and hence the inevitability of materialistic determination".

We, who believe the Bible to be the revealed Word of God, quite rightly say that if Darwinist 'evolution' is true, then there may be two species of people. Those created by God in God's image, and those

who are the descendants of ape-creatures. When we see about us brute murder, rape and mindless vandalism, is it politically correct to say that these corrupt degenerates are a regression back to some ape ancestor a thousand generations ago. Is the polarisation of society, and the emergence of two codes of justice to accommodate that polarity, a regression to the society of apes?

Darwin relied mainly on the selection of small individual variations and also gave place to spontaneous variations and the influence of the environment. Certainly, inheritable variations do occur and these may be beneficial or harmful to the tribe. We must also remember that any theory of variation must include both similarities and differences. Differences in character can be accounted for by gene changes (mutation). Reversion also occurs when the offspring shows a character not of the immediate parent but of a remote ancestor.

The Bible tells us that there was a race of "strange people" and "giants" in antediluvian times (Genesis 6:4; 6:2) and Ezra (9:1) records that God's people "have not separated themselves from the people of the lands", and of this separation of the people (Ezra 10:11; 10:17) "separate yourselves from the people of the land and from strange wives", and so strict was this warning that "they made an end of all the men that had taken strange wives".

We are right to ask the Darwinists if the "evolution of man" is the rise of a separate race (species) from the intermingling of the fallen with an ape-like creature that existed in the land at that time. Lotsey (*Lowson and Fox. Evolution and Genetics*) commented, "everything depends on a recombination of factors already present in the parent". Is it therefore a reversion to a much earlier ape ancestor that gives rise to the Satanic

deeds and unnatural behaviour we see in the world today. A reversion brought about when social and environmental pressures induce that reversion. Darwinists pose the question, perhaps they will answer it. Those who believe the revealed Word of God as detailed in the Bible have the key to the mystery. The Darwinists have no key!

MAD MAN DISEASE (cont from page 2)

animals. In contrast, why had BSE suddenly reached epidemic proportions? The Government obliged all slaughterhouses to remove what was considered to be the principal centres of the condition, namely, the brain and spinal cord. In this way it was thought there would be no risk to the health of beef eaters.

What would completely undermine the findings of MAFF experts and the Government's position would be if cows that had *never* had the feed, developed the disease. That is exactly what happened. Also, there was no evidence that cattle abroad fed on large quantities of imported British feed, developed the dreaded disease. Be sure we would have been sued for compensation if they had.

Government figures show that up to the summer of 1994 some 10,000 cows born after the ban on contaminated feed, developed BSE. If they proved anything, they proved that the cattle feed containing bone meal and offal was not the cause of BSE. Which brings us back to the plight of the farmers and their enforced ruin. It can be no consolation for them to realise that they are just about the last in the long list of industries to be destroyed on the altar of internationalism, and then only as the debris in what was once our "Right little, tight little island".

R de B

LOOKING THROUGH THE KEYHOLE OF HISTORY

"You can't know where you're going if you don't know where you've been." – Ken Burnes, The Civil War

POLITICAL CORRECTITUDE

Currently the term "politically correct" or "politically incorrect" is observed carrying the connotation of "right" or "wrong" in light of our political environment. The term "political correctitude" is, in my estimation, preferable in that it imparts a certain depth to the state of consciousness being observed here in the 1990's, vs that existing previously when religion, sovereignty, patriotism and a republican form of government existed. With this in mind, let us examine the words being used individually before expounding on what they actually mean when applied to a totalitarian, democratic regime that has been imposed on a heretofore free nationalistic government of yesteryear.

Politics, of course, is the science or art of government. Addition of the word "correct", defined as "conforming to an approved or conventional standard" with convention implying a general agreement on social behaviour, etc., by implicit consent of the majority; customary practices in light of one's "being" at a particular time, imparting a time transition. Rectitude is the quality or state of being right in judgement or procedure in the existing environment, and when combined with "correct" or "incorrect" forms a sort of thesis, its antithesis being the moral integrity, righteousness and quality or state of being that existed in yesteryear; the right or wrong of which has become inverted with the environmental changes. The doctrine of "being determines consciousness".

BEING DETERMINES CONSCIOUSNESS

We are indebted to Dr. Fred Schwarz, President of Christian Anti-Communist Crusade, in his twice monthly publication of February 15, 1978, for introducing his readers to the Marxist doctrine that "Being determines consciousness: The thoughts of an individual are caused by his state of 'being', or the environment in which he lives". In the utilisation of this doctrine, the Soviet leaders were convinced that the environment they had created must generate thoughts of agreement and devotion in all normal minds. Therefore, those who disagree must be abnormal.

Dr. Schwarz explained that Vladimir Bukorsky, a refugee in the USA who experienced mutilation in a Soviet psychiatric institution has written a foreword to the magnificent book, *Psychiatric Terror*, by Sidney Bloch and Peter Reddaway. Bukovsky wrote:

"The ruling doctrine asserts that being determines consciousness. As Socialism has been built, the consciousness of people must be exclusively communist. Where, then can belief in God appear from, if for 60 years atheism has been propagated

and the preaching of religion outlawed? And from where does an opponent of communism come — in a communist society?

"Within the confines of communist doctrine there are only two possible explanations: the cause must lie either in subversive activity directed from abroad — i.e., every dissenter has been bought or recruited by the imperialists, or in mental illness; dissent is just manifestation of psychological processes in the psyche.

"As life in the USSR does not develop freely, but is 'interpreted' by the party, these two principles mean that every dissenter whom it is difficult or inconvenient to pursue under the first heading is automatically assigned to the second.." (Page 14)

PLIGHT OF THE PSYCHIATRIST

Vladimir Bukovsky also revealed the extraordinary courage required to become a dissenter in the Soviet Union. He discussed the plight of the psychiatrist and wondered how many of us who condemn them would dare to be different if we were in their situation. Bukovsky writes:

"The Soviet psychiatrist is a part of the Soviet system. He cannot say, 'I find no symptoms of illness in this person'. He cannot reach his conclusions inductively, he must follow the prescribed deductive method. He cannot regard dissidents as a normal phenomenon generated by the realities of Soviet existence; if he did, he would become a dissenter himself. And not everyone is capable of that; family, children, professional career and the quiet life are automatically put at risk. Ahead lies nothing but harassment, persecution, condemnation, quarrels and lack of understanding in his family — relatives accusing him of selfishness and indifference to his children. Also, the incomprehension of those around him, his colleagues — what's the point of it all? Do you really think that you can change anything like that? You can't shift a mountain with a shovel! And in truth, one has to be decidedly 'different' to become a dissenter in the USSR. (Or anywhere-Ed)

"Now, when I hear from all sides so many high-sounding words and assurances of sympathy and support, when I hear condemnation of dishonest soviet psychiatrists. When I see amazement in people's eyes — 'How could doctors be so venal?' — I involuntarily find myself wondering: Who among you, if you suddenly lived in the Soviet Union, would chose the freedom to be different? Would many of you be so eccentric as to want to be persecuted for the sake of an abstract honesty before your conscience?

"I fear that not many would prove capable of acting out in such conditions the righteous incomprehension which they voice now". (Pages 14 & 15)

COMMUNISM Vs. CAPITALISM

Recognition of the doctrine of being determines consciousness, being ascribed to communism alone, brings our attention to the existence of communism and capitalism, heretofore looked upon as opposing limbs of a dialectic process – bad vs. good; antithesis vs. thesis – as the starting point in correctly describing the political concepts. Consequently, if we add the noun "Monopoly", particularly in the form of an adjective "monopolistic", it becomes evident that exactly the same political phenomena are being described: "Monopolistic Communism" and "Monopolistic Capitalism". Add to this the descriptive phrase, contributed by G.K. Chesterton, "The backers of the bankers", and a realm of shadow engineers comes into play. This explains the Aldous Huxleyan question: "*quis custodiet custodes*", which translates roughly into "who will mount guard over the guardians" – "who will engineer the engineers?" Perhaps the most logical answer to the Huxleyan question came from the lips of anthropologist Margaret Meade who stated quite authoritatively: "*Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed citizens can change the world. Indeed, it is the only thing that ever has.*"

The existence of such a small shadow group of obviously global citizens, relegates the bankers and financiers, industrial organisations, labour unions, the Council on Foreign Relations, Trilateral Commission and Bilderberg Group, etc., into a secondary implementation apparatus on the organisational chart, humorously described by the "backers" on the back of the US dollar bill as "*novus ordo seclorum*" which translates into "A new cycle of the ages", more recently called "A New World Order", and this appeared with the contrived justification "*Annuit coeptis*" which translates into "He (God) has favoured (such) undertakings." With this recognition in mind, let us focus on the doctrine of "Being determines consciousness" as it has related to a heretofore considered capitalist vs. Communist society.

PRISONERS OF CONSCIENCE

The phrase was first called to my attention from a speech delivered at Dartmouth College, by Mrs. Natille Solzhenitsyn, on the 29th of November, 1978. It should be pointed out at this juncture that when one speaks of "conscience" it is a reflection on the moral sense of right or wrong, especially as affecting a person's behaviour. "Conscious" or "consciousness" refers to the conscious mind, aware and awake to one's surroundings, "being", existence in an environment of a particular society. Consciousness results from what an individual is taught or has

experienced in a favourable light. On the other hand, the "conscience" becomes involved when research and reason compels an individual to consider the reality of a given situation; "dissenters", so to speak, when confronted by the established mores, are transformed into "prisoners of conscience", in the eyes of the socialist state.

POLITICAL SHENANIGANS

Howard McNeile published an article in the February 1983 issue of *Candour*, following the republication of John Howard Snow's 1946 book, *The Case of Tyler Kent*, by the Historical Review Press, 1982. The book revealed the complicity of Winston Churchill while First Lord of the Admiralty and President Franklin Delano Roosevelt. Tyler Kent, a coding clerk at the American Embassy in London before WW II, was collecting information to present to the US Congress on the Churchill/FDR plans to involve both countries in a war that the then Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain was trying to prevent. Captain Archibald Ramsay, a patriotic Tory back-bench MP who had created a patriotic "Right Club", ostensibly anti-Communist and anti-Jewish on what was known at the time as the "Palestine Problem." Ramsay's Right Club also denied the Holocaust even at that early time and had become opposed to the Churchill/FDR secret plans, having visited Tyler Kent on several occasions.

Tyler Kent's investigations came to a tragic end when Winston Churchill conveniently became Prime Minister on May 10, 1940. Ten days later, MI5 (British Intelligence) swooped down and Tyler Kent was arrested and thrown into jail. In the same week Scotland Yard used Defence Regulation 18-B to round up nearly 2,000 opponents of Churchill's war policy, including the aforementioned Captain Archibald Ramsay. Lord Rothschild was the man in charge of the internment exercise which was not surprising since the data Kent et al had collected included both anti-Communist and anti-Jewish involvement's. Iniquitously, Captain Ramsay was detained without trial until 1944. Tyler Kent and his friend Anna de Wolkoff were held incommunicado and tried in secret at the Old Bailey in October, 1940.

Meanwhile, on this side of the Atlantic — in the USA — the poet Ezra Pound was being described as "*This Difficult Individual*" who, as a long-time critic of British/American political shenanigans, focused his attention on Franklin Delano Roosevelt, deploring the misuse of presidential power; the role of "gold", the time-lag in education; the evils FDR "had wrought upon the American people"; and his hand-picked judges he had hired to support illegality. Pound severely questioned the motives of

FDR anent WW II, protesting "the falsification of news print and the attack on historical knowledge." All of this led to the last broadcast Pound made, in Rome, back in 1943 which proved prelude to his "Indictment" which railroaded him into the insane asylum, St. Elizabeth's Hospital in Washington D.C., where he remained for thirteen years, until May 12, 1958. It was only through the efforts of Senator Quentin N. Burdick of North Dakota, stimulated by investigative researcher and author, Eustace Mullins, that the investigation of the Pound case took place. This resulted in the dismissal of the "treason" indictment, followed by the release of Ezra Pound, substantiating what friends and enemies had said all along — that he was not insane and should either be tried or released.

Finally, the use of the doctrine "Being determines consciousness" in Britain and the United States would not be complete without the inclusion of James V. Forrestal, Secretary of the Navy until his appointment as Secretary of the new Department of Defense, by President Truman on September 19, 1947. Secretary Forrestal, despite repeated counselling from Bernard Baruch, park bench philosopher and adviser to presidents, continued to question anticipated actions on what was then known as the "Palestine Question" — creation of a State of Israel. Forrestal maintained that Britain's move from Palestine would result in serious trouble that could only help the Soviet Union, and that any action on the part of the US would cause a further breach of relations with the Arabs and their vast oil resources. In response, the US temporized by shifting to a trusteeship, for President Truman announced the recognition of a State of Israel, on May 14, 1948. Significantly, the Soviet Union responded immediately with their own recognition of the Jewish ministate.

For Secretary Forrestal's efforts, cries of "tool of Wall Street" and "oil hireling" have been forthcoming, and his tireless efforts to divorce Middle East policy from domestic politics were in vain. Attacks on the Secretary were widely distributed by the American Jewish Committee, the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, and other Zionist groups that helped inspire the "tormenting" persecuting columns by Drew Pearson and broadcasts by Walter Winchell, aggravating what was described as Forrestal's "nervous breakdown". That resulted in Forrestal's hospitalisation in the psychiatric wing of the Bethesda Naval Hospital

where, plagued with the smears of "anti-Semite" and other vile attacks from politicians and publicists, he purportedly threw himself from the window of his hospital room to his instant death. (Subsequently,

many who knew him well, maintained that he was thrown from his hospital room).¹

COMMENTARY

If this article has presented the readership with a look into "where you've been," it will not be difficult for you to envision "where you're going," and how you will continue to get there for "*plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose*": (The more things change, the more they remain the same.) "*Une main cachée dirige*" (A hidden hand directs) à la G.K. Chesterton's "backers of the bankers" has not paved a middle road for you, only decades of transition. Examine the back of your dollar bill (USA) where the ultimate goal has been depicted over the years. Or, focus your attention on the terminating stanzas of Alfred Lord Tennyson's "*Locksley Hall*" composed back in 1842, which foretold the fate that the shadow government has for you, future world citizens:

"... Till the war-drum throb's no longer,
and the battle flags were furled,
In the parliament of man,
the Federation of the world."

Resources: 1) *Christian Anti-Communist Crusade*, Fred Schwarz, M.D. President & Editor, PO Box 890, Long Beach CA 90801-0890; 2) *Ezra Pound, This Difficult Individual*, by Eustace Mullins (Hollywood CA, Angriff Press). Subsequent books by Eustace Mullins have come from *Revelation Books*, PO Box 11105, Stanton, VA 24401.

Harry E. Walkup, M.D.

WHAT WENT ON – IN 1993

Brussels ruled that the slaughterhouse on the Falklands Islands was "not up to EEC hygiene standard". This resulted in beef being imported from Uruguay (via London) and lamb was brought all the way from New Zealand (despite the fact that 60,000 Falklands sheep every year are bulldozed over cliffs because there is no demand for them). An official from Brussels travelled the 8,000 miles to the Falklands to say that EEC funds are to be made available to bring the slaughterhouse "up to standard". Meanwhile our own abattoir-owners are being driven out of business by lack of cash to pay for the "EEC standards" that has been imposed on them.

Acknowledgements to "Mammon Versus God", "The Bankers New Disorder" by Kitty Little. 1993.

¹ Remember the "motor accidents" that killed both the solicitor and the veterinary surgeon of the dissident farmer-Ed.

An 84-year-old refugee from Byelorussia has been branded a war criminal and as such will be the first to stand trial, under newly enacted legislation, for the alleged murder of four unknown Jews. *Candour* reader Margaret Crawford explains in a letter to an Home Office "*Unhappy Lady*" the iniquity of it all.

UNJUST, UN-BRITISH AND UNDESIRED

Dear Miss Maherally,

Thank you for your communication dated July 4th. 1995 in reply to my protest to Sir James Spicer MP. I am sorry for the delay in reply... The disgraceful persecution of Mr. Szymon Serafinowicz, however, makes my delayed answer timely.

This gentleman, aged 84, is accused of committing crimes 50 YEARS AGO against UNNAMED persons, he being at the time ALLEGEDLY a Byelorussian police commander "who collaborated with the Nazis."

How can there possibly be "fairness to all parties" in such an incredible situation? And what if he did "collaborate with the Nazis"? If the Nazis were releasing people from the horrors of Communist rule one can well understand that he might collaborate with them.

I do not need someone with a rather unusual name like yours to tell me that "we" have "never had a statute of limitations for crimes of murder in this country" and that "we" do not "regard ourselves as being at liberty simply to ignore the evidence which has, & may be uncovered." I wonder who you think the "we" represents?

As to the "statute of limitations", whatever that may mean, I can assure you that the War Crimes legislation forced through Parliament despite being originally thrown out by the Lords is utterly un-British & distasteful in the extreme. It is a travesty of British law, which was based on justice & common-sense.

It seems to me totally irresponsible to embark on a so-called "trial" (at taxpayers' expense) even though "we" knew that "the investigations would be difficult, especially after such a long period of time". So why, knowing all this, do "we" still consider it important that those whom the evidence merely SUGGESTS MAY HAVE BEEN responsible for murdering UNNAMED persons should be "brought to justice"? Isn't "brought to justice" rather an unfortunate phrase, seeing that nothing can possibly be proved after (as "we" have realised) such a "long period of time"? And that the evidence, which "we" are looking for, is obviously flimsy in the extreme?

What about Mr John Demjanjuk, who was actually found guilty & imprisoned in Israel despite having been mistaken – as was eventually admitted – for someone else?

It is unfortunate that Parliament – that is, the House of Commons – "expressed an overwhelming commitment to the war crimes legislation" but this is just another example of how Parliament, for years now, has not represented the wishes of the country. The War Crimes legislation is absolutely alien & un-British, & one does urge MP's & the Prime Minister to stop the persecution of this poor man.

Yours Sincerely

H. Margaret Crawford

THE BILDERBERGERS

The Bilderbergers Some say that the Bilderberg Group is the conspiracy behind the creation of a United States of Europe. They are wrong.

The overwhelming pressure for a United States of Europe has come from the US Government – and its agencies – since 1947. That predates the Bilderberg Group by eight years. The reasons for the American policy are many but the main thrust started at the Paris Peace Conference in 1919 among individual British and Americans who talked, wrote and discussed various options between the wars. There was a conspiracy of a kind but without the 'luck' of a second world war and the fearful threat of a third when the USSR carried out a coup in Prague in 1948 nothing would have happened.

Of course the US was not alone in its intentions of a United Europe. In Britain there were a few individuals, some influential, in favour. On the Continent there were many more, overwhelmingly Socialists and most battle-hardened from the resistance movements. The only European Government consistently in favour since the war has been German.

Now back to the Bilderberg Group. It was the brain-child of Joseph Retinger who was first and foremost a Polish patriot and secondly a Socialist. Poles I hope will forgive me if I say that Retinger was typical of his nation in loving intrigue and secrecy. Faced by a possible breakdown of the Atlantic Alliance when North Korea invaded the South, the French in their view deserted by the Americans in Indo-China and America subsumed in the Macarthy witch hunt. Retinger leapt to the rescue. He thought an answer might be a trans-Atlantic association but being Polish it had to be secret. That has led to many charges over the last forty years that the

Bilderbergers are a conspiracy and generally 'up to no good'.

Like any other association it has within it the seeds for both good and ill. Undoubtedly over the years and ably supported by Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands it has done much to foster better trans-Atlantic relations. That it is unreported and in private has probably helped the process. One example was the restoration of better relations after the bitterness of the Suez fiasco.

Much depends on the few individuals on the permanent steering committee. Those coming to the annual conferences vary each year – there is no membership. Steering committee members have tended to come from globalist groups like the Carnegie Peace Foundation and the Rockefeller Foundation. Such wealthy organisations are able to provide the continuity for the Bilderbergers. That inevitability has given the group a particular slant. With both politicians and civil servants mingling at such conferences the charge can be made that this is

an effective way for globalist lobby groups to influence governments in secret.

LINDSAY JENKINS

HOW FEEBLE CAN YOU GET?

Lindsay Jenkins seems to be a prime example of the truth "Being determines consciousness" so ably defined by Fred Schwarz quoted by Dr Harry Walkup in his "Looking Through the Keyhole of History". *"The overwhelming pressure for a United States of Europe has come from the US Government – and its agencies."* How simple it would be if that were so. Any student of politics who *still* will not acknowledge that there *is* a conspiracy among the self made "Bankers" and the backers thereof, the modern operations of whom reanimated in the seventeenth century with the usurpation of the throne of England by "Dutch William", is worse than useless as a retailer of "Eurofacts" purporting to reveal "The Reality Behind Europe", for to quote again from Dr Walkup's article, *"You can't know where you're going if you don't know where you've been"*.

ADVERTISEMENTS

READ – FINAL CONFLICT

Against capitalism and communism. Read Final Conflict for only £6 for five issues. Write to BCM 6358, London, WC1N 3XX.

LEGION TAPES

For a full listing of our nationalist and revisionist audio tapes send an SAE to Legion Tapes, BCM 6358, London, WC1N 3XX.

FREEDOM VIDEOS

The Anne Frank Diary Hoax + Leuchter Holocaust Trial. (2 Hours 10 Mins. Colour).

For the first time on video, experts investigate and then demolish **The Anne Frank Diary Hoax**. Ernst Zündel, Ditlieb Felderer and Eric Thomson analyse one of the most famous and emotional pillars of the "Holocaust" legend. The "diary" is required reading in many schools around the world and has been translated into at least 53 languages. This video is a must for those interested in historical truth. **The Leuchter Holocaust Trial** is the story of the persecution of Fred Leuchter Jr., the author of the world famous "Leuchter Report" which scientifically proves that the alleged gassing of six million Jews was a technical and physical impossibility. See what happens when the Zionists, desperate to discredit Leuchter and his report, drag him to court on charges of practising engineering without a license, in 1990. Includes footage of Leuchter carrying out his investigations at Auschwitz and also addressing the Institute for Historical Review.

Price: £14.99 including post & packing. (overseas orders please add 15%). Send cheques or postal orders to, Freedom Videos, Forest House, Box 1, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD.

PRIVATE COLLECTION OF NATIONALIST AND CATHOLIC BOOKS FOR SALE, ALL AS-NEW AND AT HALF PRICE. SEND SAE FOR LIST TO CANDOUR, BOX 4, FOREST HOUSE LISS FOREST, HANTS GU33 7DD.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £15 OVERSEAS £20 U.S \$30 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

CHEQUES AND POSTAL ORDERS MADE PAYABLE TO THE A.K. CHESTERTON TRUST

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire, GU33 7DD.

(Editor: Rosine de Bouneville)